

MEETING MINUTES

RECORDED BY: Melonie Trang

MEETING PURPOSE: PRC Board Meeting

MEETING DATE February 2, 2011
AND LOCATION: Community Center



ATTENDEES: PRC Board Members:
Tom Iverson, Rick DeVore, Rachel Cox, Catherine Grott,
Darwin George, Margy Bonner, Todd Royal
PRPL Staff Members:
Mike Whitaker, PRPL Director
Jon Thompson, Park Superintendent
Mark Jarvis, Park Planner
Lee Stadtmiller, Cemetery Manager
Joe Fedin, Recreation Superintendent

I. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chairman Tom Iverson called the meeting to order at 11:35 am.

A. INTRODUCTIONS

City Finance Controller Pat Weber

B. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

None

II. DIRECTORS REPORT – Mike Whitaker

No report

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

IV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. Parks and Recreation Funding:

The whole meeting was spent discussing Parks and Recreation funding. Mr. Whitaker gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding funding for this department. Information for the PowerPoint was collected with help of Board members Catherine Grott, Margy Bonner, Rick DeVore, and City Finance controller Pat Weber and Mr. Whitaker. Mr. Weber was at the meeting to answer any questions the board had regarding special districts, assessments, and levy. Mr. Whitaker told the board that Ms. Grott and Ms. Bonner will be presenting the PowerPoint presentation to City Council at the February 22nd work session. The board gave several suggestions to change or add to the presentation.

- They would like to see the departments logo added to the first page.
- They would like to see dollar signs along with the percentages on the slide discussing how we fund the department.
- They would like to see an opening statement possibly something residents stated in the recreation needs assessment survey.
- It was suggested to add statements such as “we manage ____ acres”
- For the slide with top projects and estimated costs, it was suggested to replace with the list of standards charts, which shows prioritized needs.
- On the “Funding Mechanism” slide, they would like to see two sub-heading: Current, Potential or Proposed. They would also like to see on this slide the break out of all funding sources under the sub-headings.
- They discussed having safety come first and closures second regarding top projects and estimated costs.

Mr. Weber went over the breakdown of the assessments and levy costs. The board discussed whether to eliminate the majority of the existing 38 PMD’s. They requested staff to check into whether we are allowed to double tax PMD’s if we do a citywide Special District. Park Superintendent Jon Thompson will look into it and get back to the board with an answer at the next board meeting.

The board expressed support for both the levy and citywide special district (assessments).

Mr. Whitaker said he would update the PowerPoint presentation with the board suggestions and present the final at the next board meeting on the 9th for a vote.

I. ADJOURNMENT

1:10 pm